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Daily Variability of Strongyle Fecal Egg Counts in Horses
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\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

Strongyle parasites are ubiquitous in grazing horses and constitute a potential threat to equine health. Feces were collected from six horses four times daily over a period of 5 days. Fecal egg counts (FECs) were performed to identify any diurnal rhythms in strongyle egg shedding and to quantify variability at the different levels: individual horses, repeated counts, repeated subsamples, different time points, and different days. No significant differences in FECs were found between the different time points ($P = .11)$.

The variables—horse, day, subsample, and egg count—accounted for a variance of 104.83, 0.10, 7.24, and 5.61, respectively. The apparent lack of additional variability between the four different time points suggests that time of the day chosen for collecting fecal samples does not constitute a source of error in field studies. The majority of variability exists between different subsamples and repeated egg counts on the same subsamples, whereas the variability of FECs between following days can be considered negligible. The findings of this study have implication for designing and performing field surveillance of strongyle FEC levels and applying the FEC reduction test for evaluating anthelmintic efficacy.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

\textbf{1. Introduction}

Cyathostomin parasites are ubiquitous in grazing horses worldwide. Although a majority of horses harbor cyathostomins without signs of clinical disease, a life-threatening disease complex called larval cyathostominosis is caused by mass emergence of encysted larvae from the large intestinal walls [1]. Because of this, anthelmintic treatments have been regularly applied in equine establishments over the past decades to reduce the risk of parasitic disease caused by cyathostomins and other parasite categories infecting the horse. Unfortunately, this practice has led to increasing levels of anthelmintic resistance in equine parasites [2], and it is now recommended to reduce the treatment intensity considerably to delay further development of resistance [3]. Several European Union countries have implemented prescription-only legislations to ensure veterinary involvement in the decision process and to encourage parasite diagnostics and surveillance using fecal egg counts (FECs).

An anthelmintic treatment regimen based on the selective therapy principle was first proposed 20 years ago [4,5] and is now being recommended widely for equine strongyle control [3,6]. In this system, FECs are performed from all horses on the farm, and only those exceeding a predetermined threshold egg count are treated, whereas the remaining horses are left untreated. This practice has been supported by studies documenting that adult horses maintain consistent levels of strongyle egg shedding over time, even in the absence of anthelmintic treatment [6–8]. A recent retrospective study found that horses with egg counts in the range of 0–500 eggs per gram (EPG) had...
significantly smaller worm burdens compared with horses with egg counts more than this level [9]. Despite this, high levels of variability have been documented in repeated FECs [10,11], and concerns have been raised regarding the reliability of egg counts as criteria for deciding anthelmintic treatment [12].

Questions have been raised whether strongyle egg shedding levels may be consistently different at different times of the day and whether fecal samples collected from the same horses on different days are associated with greater variability [11]. In a study by Warnick [13], more eggs were found on day 4 than on day 1, suggesting a variability in egg shedding between days. Other studies were unable to show any difference in egg counts performed morning and evening from the same horses [14,15]. Similarly, no difference was observed in FECs performed in goats between different time points during the day [16].

The aim of the present study was to investigate variability of repeated equine strongyle FECs performed at four different time points during the day over a period of 5 days. Further, the aims were to identify any diurnal rhythms in strongyle egg shedding, if possible, and to quantify variability at the different levels: individual horses, repeated counts, repeated subsamples, different time points, and different days.

2. Methods

The study was conducted at the Department of Large Animal Sciences, Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Denmark from May 12-16, 2010. It was designed as a longitudinal prospective analytical cohort study. The target population is strongyle eggs in equine feces, and the study population is strongyle eggs in feces from six horses collected at 6-hour intervals for 5 consecutive days. The study unit is strongyle EPG of feces.

2.1. Horses

Horses were kept at the Large Animal University Hospital, University of Copenhagen and were kept in individual stalls during afternoons and at night, but they were turned out to graze a common pasture for 3 hours every morning. Feces from six mares aged between 7 and 30 years were used in the study. Five of the mares were Standardbred trotters, and one was a pony breed. All horses belonged to the university and were primarily used for teaching purposes. All horses were in good health, and accurate records were kept of all medical treatments, including anthelmintic treatments. None of the horses had received anthelmintic treatment in the previous 6 months. The horses were fed a uniform diet consisting of high-fiber concentrates, vitamin and mineral supplement, and hay.

Feces were collected at 6 AM, 12 PM, 6 PM, and 12 AM. The feces were collected rectally in the same order every time to make the intervals between each sample as close to 6 hours as possible. If no feces were found rectally at the time of collection, a new attempt was made within 1 hour. A sample of approximately 50-100 g was collected at each occasion. The samples were packaged airtight in plastic rectal sleeves and kept refrigerated until further processing within 24 hours.

2.2. Fecal Egg Counts

A McMaster technique with a detection limit of 20 EPG was used to determine the FECs in the samples [17]. This technique uses centrifugation to upconcentrate samples before flotation. All egg counts were performed by the first and second authors. The McMaster chamber (Kruuse, Langeskov, Denmark) was read after 3-10 minutes. All intact strongyle eggs within the lines of the McMaster chamber grid were counted.

Before subsampling, each fecal sample was manually mixed while in the bag. For each fecal sample, three 4-g subsamples were then weighed and processed. From each 4-g subsample, three egg counts were performed. This generated nine egg counts performed from each fecal sample.

Larval cultures were performed to determine the possible presence of large strongyle species in each of the horses. Five grams of feces was cultured from each horse, and 200 larvae were identified, if present, using morphological criteria [18].

2.3. Statistical Analyses

The analysis of data was performed using the statistics program R version 2.10.1 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). It was assumed that the four variables—horse, day, subsample, and egg count—gave rise to random variability. The variable time point was assumed to have a fixed effect on FEC.

A mixed model was used to determine the effect of the covariates on FEC. In the mixed model, it is possible to include both random and fixed variables. For the model to describe data satisfactorily, FEC was square root transformed. The description of data was studied by making a residual plot of the predicted FEC values against the differences between predicted and observed FEC values. A normal probability plot was used to examine the assumption that the errors are normally distributed.

The model was used to estimate the amount of variance described by each of the random covariates. An F-test was used to determine the potential impact of sampling four times a day on the FEC. Results were interpreted at the 5% significance level.

3. Results

During the study, 1,080 egg counts were performed. FECs were in the range of 0-2,420. Each horse’s FEC values are presented in Table 1. Figure 1 presents mean daily egg

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Horse</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>1,060</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>2,420</td>
<td>1,359</td>
<td>1,360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Range, mean, and median of strongyle fecal egg count values given in eggs per gram of feces for each of the six horses used in the study.
counts and 95% confidence intervals for each horse during the study. Cyathostomins were found in the larval cultures of all six horses, with *Triodontophorus* spp identified in horses e (0.5%) and f (2%), respectively. No other large strongyle species were encountered.

Egg counts were not significantly different at the four different time points during the study \( (P = .11) \). The variances explained by the four random covariates are presented in Table 2.

### 4. Discussion

We demonstrated that over a 5-day period, the day-to-day variability in egg counts was negligible, with the majority of variability existing between different subsamples and repeated counts on the same subsamples. In addition, we found no evidence of a diurnal rhythm in strongyle egg shedding. To our knowledge, this is the first study to compare FECs in horses at four different times of the day, and no significant differences were found.

The results are in agreement with findings of Denwood et al. [15], who reported a low variance between FECs counted in feces collected twice daily. The difference between the two studies is that Denwood et al. [15] examined the daily variability as a random effect on FECs, which makes them capable of determining size of the daily variability, whereas our study was designed to determine whether there is a fixed daily variability. However, both studies have come to the conclusion that the time of day for collection of fecal samples has no effect on FEC.

It should be noted that the level of variability associated with FECs is partly dependent on the egg-counting technique used. More sensitive techniques designed to detect low egg count levels can be expected to have less variability than techniques with higher detection limits. To illustrate this point, the FLOTAC technique has a limit for detection of one EPG and is reported to have significantly lower variability levels than other egg-counting techniques [19].

In the present study, the maximum difference in FEC at different times of the day was 43 EPG (data not shown), but this difference was not significant. A larger number of horses in the study could possibly result in the difference becoming statistically significant. However, this difference is small and would not have clinical relevance. Similarly, Bennett [14] found a nonsignificant difference in large strongyle egg shedding of 24 EPG between samples collected morning and evening. Our study revealed a larger variability between subsamples than between individual egg counts. Thus, one approach to reduce the variability of egg counts could be to perform two or more repeated egg counts from the same fecal sample and then calculating the average.

One study evaluated trichostrongyle egg counts in goat feces performed every second hour for 24 hours every 3 weeks through 14 months [16]. The findings suggested that the time of year did not have an impact on whether there is a daily variability in the shedding of strongyle eggs. Whether this is the case for equine strongyle egg shedding has yet to be determined. In the present study, the strongyle eggs were primarily from cyathostomins, but the composition of cyathostomin species is not known. This composition would be relevant to determine in future studies, as the variation in egg shedding could be dependent on the species. Other herds might be infected with a different composition of strongyle species, and it is therefore important to determine whether the lack of a significant daily variability in shedding of strongyle eggs applies to all strongyle species in horses. However, multiple studies have found the same strongyle species to be predominant in horses worldwide [20-27].

Among the four random variables studied, the horse is the variable that gives rise to the largest variance. This finding is not surprising, as individual horses are known to maintain different levels of strongyle egg shedding over time [6-8]. This appears to be partly explained by host immunity, as younger horses tend to have higher FECs than adult horses although their luminal worm burden is roughly the same [28-30]. However, it should be pointed out that younger horses were not included in the present study, and it is possible that findings could be different with this age-group owing to the higher egg count levels. Thus, results from this study cannot necessarily be extrapolated to younger horses.

One study reported a larger day-to-day variability than found in this study [13]. This difference might be partly explained by the fact that the study did not account for the variability associated with laboratory techniques by performing repeated egg counts and analyzing several subsamples per time point. Despite the variability between days, Warnick [13] concluded that a single FEC is sufficient to determine the level of strongyle egg shedding in a horse. Although this statement may appear somewhat unjustified, later studies have verified that levels of strongyle egg shedding in adult horses are consistent over time [6-8]. It is important to note that a seasonal variation in the egg shedding should be expected [31], but that our study suggests that such effects can be considered negligible over shorter periods. This is important when performing the FEC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Covariate</th>
<th>Horse</th>
<th>Day</th>
<th>4-g Sample</th>
<th>Egg Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>104.83 (89.01%)</td>
<td>0.10 (0.09%)</td>
<td>7.24 (6.15%)</td>
<td>5.61 (4.76%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The percentage of the total variance of each covariate is presented in parentheses.
5. Conclusions

In summary, this study provides useful observations for veterinarians and horse owners using FECs for selective therapy purposes and/or FEC reduction test on equine establishments. We conclude that there is no significant within-day variability in the shedding of strongyle eggs in horses, and the time of day for collection of fecal samples does not represent a source of error in research studies. In addition, the day-to-day variability of FECs can be considered negligible over a period of 5 days, so there is no additional benefit from performing repeated egg counts over consecutive days to estimate levels of egg shedding.
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